threatened by fellow inmates because of his mental illness, that he therefore requested to be moved to protective segregation, that this request was denied, that he was subsequently beaten by fellow inmates and his foot was broken, and that he submitted a request for administrative relief on these claims and appealed the denial to the highest level. <u>Complaint</u> at 4. Defendant Woolsey moves to dismiss, arguing that plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies. <u>Motion to Dismiss</u> at 1.

"No action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under [42 U.S.C. § 1983], or any other Federal Law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted." 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a). An inmate at an Arizona Department of Corrections facility is subject to the Inmate Grievance System, which permits an inmate to raise concerns with regard to numerous matters, including staff, institutional procedures, medical care and conditions of confinement. Statement of Facts, Exhibit 6 (Departmental Order 802) at 2. To exhaust the administrative remedies available under the Inmate Grievance System, an inmate must file an informal inmate letter, a formal grievance, an appeal to the warden, and an appeal to the director. Id. at 5-7. The informal inmate letter must begin with the following statement: "I am attempting to informally resolve the following problem." Id. at 5. When complaining with regard to a staff person, the grievance procedure is the same, except that the formal grievance is forwarded directly to the warden. Id. at 9.

Plaintiff wrote a series of inmate letters asserting that he wanted protection, that he wanted to be transferred to the mental health unit, that he believed that his life was endangered by the Department of Corrections, and that he wanted to file a lawsuit. Separate Statement of Facts, Exhibits 3, 5, 8, 10. Plaintiff did not begin his letters with the statement, "I am attempting to informally resolve the following problem." However, even if those letters were considered informal complaints for purposes of the grievance procedure, plaintiff failed to file a formal grievance, or any appeal, Separate Statement of Facts, Exhibit B (Affidavit of Cheryl Dossett) at 1-2, and accordingly, failed to exhaust the available administrative remedies.

## Moreover, plaintiff's failure to respond to the motion to dismiss serves as an additional, independent reason to grant the motion. Failure to respond to a motion "may be deemed a consent to the . . . granting of the motion, and the Court may dispose of the motion summarily." LRCiv 7.2(i). Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED GRANTING defendant Woolsey's motion to dismiss (doc. 13). All claims having been dismissed, the clerk is directed to enter final judgment for defendants. DATED this 19th day of January, 2006. United States District Judge

Case 2:04-cv-02316-FJM-MEA Document 29 Filed 01/23/06 Page 3 of 3